The response of glaciers to climatic persistence
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ABSTRACT. The attribution of past glacier length fluctuations to changes in climate requires characterizing glacier mass-balance variability. Observational records, which are relatively short, are consistent with random fluctuations uncorrelated in time, plus an anthropogenic trend. However, longer records of other climate variables suggest that, in fact, there is a degree of temporal persistence associated with internal (i.e. unforced) climate variability, and that it varies with location and climate. Therefore, it is likely that persistence does exist for mass balance, but records are too short to confirm its presence, or establish its magnitude, with conventional statistical tests. Extending the previous work, we explore the impact of potential climatic persistence on glacier length fluctuations. We use a numerical model and a newly developed analytical model to establish that persistence, even of a degree so small as to be effectively undetectable in the longest mass-balance records, can significantly enhance the resulting glacier length fluctuations. This has a big impact on glacier-exursion probabilities: what was an extremely unlikely event (<1%) can become virtually certain (>99%), when persistence is incorporated. Since the actual degree of climatic persistence that applies to any given glacier is hard to establish, these results complicate the attribution of past glacier changes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Partitioning Earth’s climate history into internal variability and forced change is crucial for our understanding of climate dynamics. Although the definitional lines are somewhat blurred, internal climate variability is that which occurs in the absence of any changes to the external forcing of the climate system. External forcing is generally taken to be changes in atmospheric composition, insolation, or continental geometry, and volcanic eruptions. Internal climate variability sets the irreducible lower bound on the predictability of future climate: the particular climate trajectory that we will ride into the future depends both on the changes in external forcing and also on the internal variability which, analogous to a weather forecast, depends unknowably on the details of the current state of the system (e.g. Hawkins and Sutton, 2009; Deser and others, 2012).

For timescales beyond the instrumental record, we must rely on paleoclimate proxies to reconstruct the histories of both internal climate variability and climate change. Arguably the most important paleoclimate research in recent decades was the demonstration that the magnitude of the change in global-mean temperature in the past century exceeds the range of variability in the late Holocene (e.g. Mann and others, 1998). This was a critical contribution to the formal identification of an anthropogenic climate-change ‘signal’ above the climate-variability ‘noise’.

However, the fact that both climate change and climate variability are wrapped up in the same paleoclimate records can complicate their interpretation. The distinction between climate change and climate variability is vital to keep clear, because the former challenges us to identify and quantify the forcing agents, whereas the latter represents the business-as-usual operation of the system and requires no further explanation.

Glacial valleys are important repositories of paleoclimate information. In many places, reconstructions of glacier history provide the primary narrative of regional climate history, against which other proxy records are evaluated. In the past fifteen years, a series of studies has sought to characterize the response of glaciers to climate variability (Oerlemans, 2000, 2001; Reichert and others, 2002; Huybers and Roe, 2009; Roe and O’Neal 2009; Roe, 2011; Roe and Baker, 2014; Anderson and others, 2014; Rowan and others, 2014). Here the relevant climate variable is mass balance.

Forcing using glacier mass balance cannot generally be distinguished from a normally distributed, white-noise time series (e.g. Burke and Roe, 2014; Medwedeff and Roe, 2016). A white-noise time series has uniform power at all frequencies in its spectrum, and has zero persistence in its time series (i.e. fluctuations at different times are uncorrelated; Box and others, 2008). Because glaciers act as low-pass climate filters, a glacier will generate a reddened response (more power at low frequencies) and so undergo long, persistent fluctuations even when the climate itself has no persistence. The above-mentioned studies modeled the glacier response to white-noise mass-balance forcing and found standard deviations in length (±σL) varying from a few hundred meters up to a kilometer, depending on glacier geometry, climatic setting and model details. Glaciers typically have response times of up to a few decades (e.g. Leclercq and Oerlemans, 2012). By definition of the standard deviation, a glacier will spend ~5% of its time outside ±2σL (i.e., maxima and minima are at least 4σL apart). Therefore these studies establish that century-scale, kilometer-scale fluctuations in glacier length will occur in response to a climate that has no persistence, but consists only of the observed year-to-year variability due to the vagaries of the weather. This then sets the null hypothesis, against which past glacier length fluctuations should be evaluated statistically, to determine if they, in fact, indicate a climate change.

In this study we follow a line of research pioneered by Reichert and others (2002). While glacier mass-balance
observations are generally consistent with white noise, other data indicate that this may be due to the limited duration of mass-balance records. Long instrumental records of some other meteorological fields (temperature and, to a lesser degree precipitation), proxy paleoclimate records, and theoretical and numerical climate models all suggest that there is a degree of persistence in internal climate variability. In this study we use a numerical flow model, together with a newly developed analytical glacier model, to show that adding even a small amount of persistence, so small that it is hard to detect in long instrumental records, substantially enhances glacier length fluctuations compared with a climate without persistence. In turn, this has a big impact on the excursion probabilities. Since the degree of persistence that pertains to glacier mass balance is difficult to establish from observations, the results imply greater uncertainty than has typically been recognized in the magnitude of the glacier response to internal climate variability. This makes it harder to identify true climate change in the glacier record.

2. TWO MODELS OF CLIMATIC PERSISTENCE

We consider two commonly invoked statistical models of climatic persistence. The first is a first-order autoregressive process (≡ AR(1); e.g. Box and others, 2008). Let $b_t$ be the annual-mean mass balance in year $t$. An AR(1) process can be written as

$$b_t = rb_{t-\Delta} + a_0\Delta\mu_t,$$

where $\Delta t = 1a$, and $r$ and $a_0$ are constants and $\mu_t$ is a random number drawn from a normally-distributed stochastic process. Thus $b_t$ depends in part on its value in the previous year (and so has persistence), and in part on a random stochastic impulse occurring in the current year. $r$ is the autocorrelation coefficient at lag 1 a. Equation (1) is the discrete form of the continuous equation: $db/dt + b/\tau_c = a\mu(t)$, and so $r$ can be related to a climatic persistence timescale (or memory), $\tau_c$: $r = 1 - \Delta t/\tau_c$. This model of persistence was introduced into climate science in a series of papers by Klaus Hasselmann and colleagues (e.g. Hasselmann, 1976; Frankignoul and Hasselmann, 1977), which demonstrated that persistence in sea-surface temperatures was the result of the ocean-mixed layer acting to integrate rapid and essentially random, uncorrelated fluctuations of air-sea heat fluxes.

Note that one can also consider a higher-order autoregressive process, AR$p$, which depends on the previous $p$ states (e.g. Box and others, 2008), and which can be interpreted as the discrete form of an $p^{th}$-order ordinary differential equation. Reichert and others (2002) fit an AR(3) process to climate-model output for Niogardsbreen Glacier in Norway, for instance. The AR(3) coefficients fit imply a persistence timescale of 1.5 a, and also an underlying damped oscillation with a 3 a period and a 1 a phase-memory. It is important to make a physical interpretation of the higher-order AR($p$) process, and to establish the statistical necessity of the higher-order model via standard methods (e.g. Box and others, 2008). We only consider AR(1) here.

For an AR(1) process, the power spectral density as a function of frequency and lag-1 a autocorrelation (≡ $P_b(f, r)$), is given by:

$$P_b(f, r) = \frac{P_0}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos(2\pi f \Delta t)}, \quad 0 \leq f \leq \frac{1}{2\Delta t}. \quad (2)$$

where $P_0$ is a constant, defined in the Appendix. $P_b(f, r)$ increases towards lower frequencies, and by analogy with spectra for visible light, is often termed red noise.

The autocorrelation function (ACF) is the formal statistical description of the structure of the persistence in a time series (e.g. Box and others, 2008), and it characterizes the similarity between the data as a function of the time lag between them. Let $n\Delta t$ represent a lag of $n$ years. The ACF is defined by $\rho(n\Delta t) = \langle b Tb_{t-n\Delta} \rangle$. From the Wiener–Kinchin theorem, the ACF is the Fourier transform of the power spectrum:

$$\rho(n\Delta t) = \int_0^{\infty} P_b(f) \cos(2\pi n \Delta t) df / \int_0^{\infty} P_b(f) df.$$

For an AR(1) process the ACF is:

$$\rho(n\Delta t) = \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_c}\right)^n = \exp\left(-\frac{n\Delta t}{\tau_c}\right), \quad (3)$$

and thus $\rho$ asymptotes exponentially towards zero with an e-folding timescale, $\tau_c$.

The second model for persistence characterizes the spectrum of a time series as a power law. This form is suggested by several analyses of long instrumental and paleoclimate proxy records for temperature (e.g. Pelletier, 1997, 1998; Fraedrich and Blender, 2003; Fraedrich and others, 2004; Huybers and Curry, 2006), and also for precipitation and other hydrological variables (Hurst, 1951; Ault and others, 2013). Let $v$ be the slope of the power spectrum when plotted on logarithmic axes. So in this case, the spectrum $P_0(f, v)$, is defined by:

$$P_0(f, v) = P_0(f_0/f)^v,$$  \quad (4)$$

where $P_0$ is the spectral density at frequency $f_0 = 1/(2\Delta t)$, the maximum resolvable frequency from an annual-mean time series; and $v$ is a positive constant. Thus, spectral power continually increases towards lower frequencies.

For the ACF there is an exact analytical solution in the form of an ordinary hypergeometric function.

$$\rho(n\Delta t) = F_1\left[1 - \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{3 - \nu}{2}; -\frac{n\Delta t f_0^2}{2}\right], \quad (5)$$

which looks intimidating but the key point is that, at large lags, it decays towards zero as $(n\Delta t)^{-1}$ (e.g. Percival and others, 2001; Box and others, 2008). It thus decays much more slowly than an AR(1) process (Eqn 3), and so exhibits greater persistence at long timescales. For this reason Eqn (4) is referred to as a ‘long memory’ process. This behavior will be shown in Figure 2.

Huybers and Curry (2006) present spatial maps of $v$ for 100 year-long temperature observations, and find values between 0 and 0.5 over land, with the highest values confined to maritime climate settings. Values can exceed 1 over the ocean, particularly in the tropics. Ault and others (2013) fit Eqn (4) to spectra of observed precipitation in western North America and find a patchwork pattern with $v$ ranging from $-0.2$ to $+0.5$, but with marginal statistical significance. Persistence evaluated from paleoclimate proxy time series should be regarded with some caution, since many of the proxies themselves act as integrators of climate, which together with dating uncertainty and post-depositional processes can act to redden the proxy time series independent of the driving climate. But such datasets do indicate that internal variability can be characterized as a power-law spectrum (Pelletier, 1997, 1998; Fraedrich and Blender, 2003; Fraedrich and others, 2004; Huybers...
Furthermore there are theoretical grounds to think that power-law behavior should be expected across decadal to millennial frequencies: for quasi-diffusive heat uptake in the deep ocean, progressively more of the ocean becomes involved at low frequencies, increasing the effective inertia of the climate system (Hoffert and others, 1980; Pelletier, 1997; Fraedrich and others, 2004), which is also diagnosed in comprehensive climate models (e.g. Zhu and others, 2010; MacMynowski and others, 2011). Power-law spectra can also be generated by a fractionally differenced process, which is equivalent to an aggregation of AR(1) processes with many different timescales (Beran, 1994; Percival and others, 2001; Box and others, 2008).

2.1. Observed variability and persistence in glacier mass-balance records

We first evaluate the variability and persistence of three of the longest annual-mean mass-balance observations available. Our goal is not to present a comprehensive evaluation of glacier mass-balance records, which is done in Medwedeff and Roe (2016), but the results shown here are typical. The longest continuous mass-balance record is that on Clariden glacier, Switzerland (since 1914), although the measurements are only at two snow stakes, and so not necessarily representative over the whole glacier; Storbreen (since 1949) is the longest record in Norway; and South Cascade in Washington State (since 1959) is the longest in North America.

Figure 1a presents these records after they have been linearly detrended to remove any anthropogenic trend. The standard deviations in mass balance ($\sigma_b$) and 95% bounds for each glacier are: Clariden, 0.74 [0.65, 0.86] m a$^{-1}$; Storbreen, 0.69 [0.58, 0.83] m a$^{-1}$; South Cascade, 1.04 [0.87, 1.3] m a$^{-1}$, where the confidence bounds have been calculated assuming the n-years sample variance follows a $\chi^2$ distribution with n$-$1 degrees of freedom (e.g. Von Storch and Zwiers, 1999). All three datasets are consistent with a normal distribution at better than 95% confidence based on a Jarque-Bera test (e.g. Steinskog and others, 2007). Most mass-balance records are not as long as those we selected, of course, and it is important to emphasize that, for shorter records, the uncertainty estimates on $\sigma_b$ are quite large. For a 20 a mass-balance record, for instance, the 95% bounds are [0.76, 1.46] times the standard deviation of the data sample. In other words, for many records, there is approximately a factor of two uncertainty in $\sigma_b$ (Medwedeff and Roe, 2016).

An alternative measure of persistence is to evaluate whether the power spectra of the records have non-zero slopes. Figure 1c shows the power spectra for the three records (unwindowed periodograms), together with the
best-fit slopes, based on a least-squares linear regression. The results for the slopes, $\nu$, are: Clariden, $0.25 \pm 0.32$ (2$\sigma$); Storbreen, $0.23 \pm 0.61$ (2$\sigma$); South Cascade, $0.09 \pm 0.64$ (2$\sigma$). For all the records the slopes are positive, but the 2$\sigma$ ranges all straddle zero and so none are statistically different from $\nu = 0$ (Clariden gets close with a $p$ value of 0.12). Therefore, by this measure also, one concludes the observations cannot be distinguished from white noise.

Medwedeff and Roe (2016) evaluate the full, global mass-balance dataset available from the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS, 2013 and earlier issues) and find these results apply more broadly: observed annual-mean and season-mean mass-balance records are consistent with white noise (plus a linear trend).

We are therefore left with the situation that, while paleo-climate proxies and long instrumental records both suggest that there is a degree of persistence in climate time series (which varies as a function of location and climate variable), glacier mass-balance records are too short to detect such persistence statistically, or to accurately determine its type (i.e. AR (1) or power law) or degree (e.g. Percival and others, 2001). Nor can persistence in mass balance be easily inferred from other climate variables. Persistence is different for different variables. Glacier mass balance reflects a complex amalgam of many variables, and also depends in part on other stochastic processes such as avalanching and drifting. Nonetheless, as has been preliminarily explored by Reichert and others (2002), climatic persistence has the potential to significantly enhance glacier length fluctuations. In this paper we take a what-if approach: what if climatic persistence, of a degree that is hard to detect, exists in glacier mass balance? What would be the impact of such persistence on the amplitude of glacier length fluctuations? The implications for the interpretation of past glacier records are broached in Section 4.

### 2.2. Synthetic climate time series with persistence

To explore the impacts of possible undetected persistence in mass balance on the interpretation of glacier-length records, we first generate synthetic mass-balance records with differing degrees of persistence. We then compare the theoretical glacier responses to these synthetic mass-balance histories with the responses that would be predicted under the erroneous assumption that the mass-balance fluctuations represent white noise.

We generate five synthetic 10 ka mass-balance time series using the method outlined by Percival and others (2001). For a given choice of $r$ or $\nu$, the time series is generated from the Fourier transform of the spectrum amplitude (the square root of either Eqn (2) or (4)), multiplied by a random phase at each frequency. We use the same set of random phases for each time series, to facilitate comparison.

Our control mass-balance time series is white noise, with standard deviation $\sigma_b = 1$ m a$^{-1}$. For power-law persistence,
we take values for \( \nu \) of 0.25 and 0.4, since these sit within the range suggested for land-based climate variables. The lag one-year autocorrelation coefficients for such power-law persistence are 0.17 and 0.28, respectively. Based on the ~95% confidence intervals (Bartlett, 1946), for a given \( \nu \) it takes \( n \sim (2/\nu^2) \) years to detect persistence. Thus, for our choices for \( \nu \) of 0.25 and 0.4 it would require \( n \sim 150 \) and 50 a of data, respectively, before persistence could be established. Such durations are comparable with some of the longest available instrumental and glacier mass-balance records. In other words we are emulating a realistic situation: we are introducing persistence with a magnitude that is hard to detect in observations.

The inclusion of persistence enhances the variance of a time series. However if the presence of persistence went undetected, the measured standard deviation would be taken to be the standard deviation of a white-noise process. The parameters of the assumed white noise used to predict glacier length fluctuations would then be based on this standard deviation. We therefore apply a correction, normalizing each time series so the standard deviation is equal to that of the control series (\( \sigma_{0} = 1 \text{ m a}^{-1} \)). This ensures that the variance we use to drive the glacier models is consistent with what would be determined from observations – the only thing that is different is the persistence present in each time series. This correction is conservative in the sense that it reduces the resulting glacier variance. Without the correction, adding persistence would increase the standard deviation of mass balance (and glacier response) by 1.5, 4.2, 15 and 29%, for \( r = 0.17, 0.28, \nu = 0.25, 0.4 \) respectively.

Figure 2a presents a 100 a segment of each of these synthetic climatic time series. The series are virtually indistinguishable by eye, but the ACFs (Fig. 2b) reveal the differences. The AR(1) processes asymptote exponentiallydecline more slowly (Eqn 5) and thus represent a climate with persistence. Thus, for our choices for \( \nu \) of 0.25 and 0.4 it is different is the persistence present in each time series. However if the presence of persistence went undetected, the measured standard deviation would be taken to be the standard deviation of a white-noise process. The parameters of the assumed white noise used to predict glacier length fluctuations would then be based on this standard deviation. We therefore apply a correction, normalizing each time series so the standard deviation is equal to that of the control series (\( \sigma_{0} = 1 \text{ m a}^{-1} \)). This ensures that the variance we use to drive the glacier models is consistent with what would be determined from observations – the only thing that is different is the persistence present in each time series. This correction is conservative in the sense that it reduces the resulting glacier variance. Without the correction, adding persistence would increase the standard deviation of mass balance (and glacier response) by 1.5, 4.2, 15 and 29%, for \( r = 0.17, 0.28, \nu = 0.25, 0.4 \) respectively.

Figure 2a presents a 100 a segment of each of these synthetic climatic time series. The series are virtually indistinguishable by eye, but the ACFs (Fig. 2b) reveal the differences. The AR(1) processes asymptote exponentially to zero (Eqn 3), whereas the ACFs of the power-law processes decline more slowly (Eqn 5) and thus represent a climate with a small-but-persistent chance that a series of successive years have the same sign anomaly (i.e. a long memory). The spectra are shown in Figure 2c and show that the synthetic time series accurately match the theoretical power spectra.

In Section 3, we drive two different glacier models with these synthetic mass-balance time series. We also derive algebraic formulæ that capture the impact of climatic persistence on glacier length.

### 3. IMPACT OF CLIMATIC PERSISTENCE ON GLACIER LENGTH

The first glacier model we use is the numerical flowline model from Roe and O’Neal (2009), with shallow-ice dynamics and basal sliding. It uses the same set of parameters as Roe and Baker (2014), characteristic of the small Alpine glaciers around Mt. Baker in Washington State, U.S.A. Readers are referred to Roe and Baker (2014) for the equations and more details.

The second model is the three-stage model, also of Roe and Baker (2014), a linear model for length fluctuations around a prescribed mean state, which was shown to closely emulate the behavior of the numerical model under a wide range of parameter choices. Its relatively simple form makes it an extremely versatile tool. It provides analytic solutions for important metrics of the response, such as a glacier’s power

spectrum, ACF, variance and excursion probabilities in response to climate variability, and a glacier’s sensitivity to climate trends and step-changes. The three-stage equation is

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{\epsilon \tau_g} \right) \sigma_L(t) = \frac{\beta}{\epsilon \tau_g^2} b(t),
\]

where \( \sigma_L(t) \) is the perturbation in length away from a prescribed mean state: \( \tau_g = -H/b \text{term}_0 \) is the glacier response timescale originally proposed by Jóhannesson and others (1989), where \( H \) is the mean thickness, and \( b \text{term}_0 \) is the (negative) net mass balance at the glacier terminus, both in the mean state; and \( \epsilon \) is a constant (\( = 1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.58 \)). The glacier geometry also determines \( \beta = A_{\text{gl}}/(wHg) \), where \( A_{\text{gl}} \) is the total glacier area and \( w \) is the characteristic valley width at the terminus. As in Roe and Baker (2014), for our standard control glacier, we take \( \tau_g = 6.74a, \) and \( \beta = 178 \) (unitless).

Equation (6) reflects the three stages of glacier adjustment identified and described in Roe and Baker (2014): changes in mass balance first cause changes in thickness, which then causes changes in ice flux at the terminus, which finally causes changes in length. These three stages are robust to the spatial pattern of mass-balance changes, and are intrinsic to the high-frequency response of a glacier. Roe and Baker (2014) further showed that Eqn (6) could also be written as a discrete equation in time steps of \( \Delta t = 1 \text{a} \). The discrete equation more closely matches the nature of actual mass balance, coming as it does in discrete winter and summer seasons. Roe and Baker (2014) showed that, for white-noise forcing, the length variance (= \( \sigma_L^2 \)) for the discrete three-stage model is:

\[
\sigma_L^2 = \beta^2 \tau_g^2 \sigma_b^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4\kappa^2}{(1 + 4\kappa^2 + \kappa^4)} \right),
\]

where \( \kappa = (1 - (\Delta t/\epsilon \tau_g)) \). In the limit as \( \tau_g \gg \Delta t \) this simplifies to:

\[
\sigma_L^2 = \frac{3\Delta t \tau_g \beta^2 \sigma_b^2}{16 \epsilon}.
\]

For \( \sigma_b = 1 \text{ m a}^{-1} \), Eqn (7) predicts \( \sigma_L = 284 \text{ m} \), which agrees closely with the output from numerical flowline model, \( \sigma_L = 285 \text{ m} \). The time series of length fluctuations from the numerical model and the three-stage model are essentially identical (grey and black lines in Fig. 3), confirming that the three-stage model emulates ice-flow dynamics very effectively.

Let \( R_e \) be the ratio of the glacier variance with-and-without persistence in mass-balance forcing. In the Appendix we derive expressions from the three-stage model. For persistence based on an AR(1) process, we find:

\[
R_e \equiv \frac{\sigma_L^2}{\sigma_L^2_{|r|}} = \frac{(1 - r^2)}{1 - r^2} \frac{\epsilon \tau_g (3\epsilon \tau_g^2 b + 9\epsilon \tau_g \tau_c + 8\tau_c^2)}{3(\epsilon \tau_g^2 + \tau_c^3)}. \tag{9}
\]

In the limit of \( \tau_c \gg \tau_g \), this simplifies to:

\[
R_e = \frac{(1 - r^2)}{1 - r^2} \tag{10}
\]

For persistence based on a power-law process, we find:

\[
R_e \equiv \frac{\sigma_L^2}{\sigma_L^2_{|v|}} = \frac{\pi^2 (\epsilon \tau_g / \Delta t)^2 (1 - v^2)(v + 3) \sec(v \pi/2)}{3}. \tag{11}
\]
These expressions can be used to calculate the standard deviation of glacier length:

$$\sigma_{L|_{wn}} = \sqrt{R_{rr}} \cdot \sigma_{L|_{wn}},$$

where $\sigma_{L|_{wn}}$ is the response to white-noise forcing Eqn (7) or (8). Equations (9) and (11) allow for efficient computation across a wide range of parameters.

For the mass-balance time series generated by AR(1) processes with $r = 0.17$ and $0.28$, the numerical flowline model responds with $\sigma_l = 336$ and $377$ m, respectively. These are increases of 18 and 32% over $\sigma_L$ for white-noise forcing, and Eqn (9) gives good agreement, predicting 17 and 31%, respectively. The length time series for numerical and three-stage models are shown in Figure 3a, and the increased length variability for increasing $r$ can be seen by eye, particularly if you focus on adjacent maxima and minima. Furthermore, we see that the three-stage model emulates the numerical flowline model very well. The ACFs (Fig. 3b) look very similar to the white-noise case, but the spectra show the enhanced power at low frequencies (Fig. 3c). At frequencies $<1/100$ a$^{-1}$, the glacier dynamics no longer damp the response, and the glacier exists in near-equilibrium with the climate forcing (e.g. Roe and Baker, 2014).

For the mass-balance time series generated by power-law processes with $\nu = 0.25$ and 0.4, the numerical flowline model responds with $\sigma_l = 399$ and 489 m, respectively. These are increases of 40 and 72% over $\sigma_L$ for white-noise forcing, and Eqn (11) gives good agreement, predicting 43 and 79%, respectively. Again the increase in variance is clear by eye in the time series in Figure 3a, with the three-stage model again accurately reproducing the behavior of the numerical flowline model. In contrast with the AR(1) case, the ACFs for the power-law climate (Fig. 3b) clearly show the extra persistence at large lags. These ACFs and the larger increase in variance are consistent with the spectra (Fig. 3c) that show power continuing to increase towards lower frequencies.

Reichert and others (2002) fit an AR(3) process to a climate-model simulation of mass balance for Nigardsbreen, Norway, and generated an increase in $\sigma_L$ of 36%. However our results are hard to directly compare because of the different AR(p) models, and the different glacier geometries.

We have deliberately implemented a degree of persistence small enough to be hard to detect in instrumental records, and our analyses show it causes an increase in $\sigma_L$ of between 17 and 79%, for our chosen glacier parameters.

### 3.1. The impact of persistence on excursion probabilities

A practical measure of how much persistence matters for understanding past glacier length fluctuations is the impact on the probabilities of the glacier undergoing fluctuations...
of a given size. Roe and Baker (2014) showed that the three-stage model could be used to accurately estimate excursion probabilities. We extend that analysis here by incorporating persistence. Under the assumptions that (1) the excursion amplitudes of \( L \) are normally distributed, and (2) this distribution is independent of the distribution of \( L \) (= \( dL/dt \)). The expected return time of a given glacier advance, \( L_0 \), beyond the equilibrium position is given by:

\[
R(L_0) = 2\pi \frac{\sigma_{L}}{\sigma_{\tau}} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L_0}{\sigma_{\tau}} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{13}
\]

where \( \sigma_{\tau} \) is the standard deviation of the time rate of change of \( L \). The curves in Figure 3 give everything needed to calculate \( R(L_0) \). Figure 4a shows that the expected return time of an advance past zero (i.e. equilibrium) is 42 a for white-noise forcing, increasing slightly with persistence to 58 a for \( v = 0.4 \). However there is a dramatic reduction in the return time of large advances because Eqn (13) has an exponential sensitivity to \( \sigma_{\tau} \). For instance, for our control glacier, a 1 km advance is expected to occur on average once every 20 ka for white noise, but once every 400 a for \( v = 0.4 \) (Fig. 4a).

Another relevant metric is the probability of a given total excursion (i.e. maximum minus minimum extent) in a given period of time, say 1 ka. It is useful to evaluate, for example, whether a given moraine might have been deposited simply because of internal climate variability, or whether the moraine must have required a climate change. Such calculations can also be used to correct estimates of past climate change from moraines records, recognizing that the moraines represent extrema in the lengths of fluctuating glaciers, and that the terminus position associated with the average climate during any given period likely lies somewhat up-valley (Anderson and others, 2014; Rowan and others, 2014).

Using standard results from extreme-value statistics (e.g. Vanmarcke, 1983), Roe (2011) derived the probability of a glacier exceeding a maximum total excursion, \( \Delta L \), in any given interval of time, \( T \), assuming the extreme-exursion return times following a Poisson distribution:

\[
\rho(\Delta L > \Delta L_{\text{min}})(T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta L}{R(\Delta L)} \exp \left[ -T/R(\Delta L) \right] \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -T/R(\Delta L - \Delta L) \right] \right) d\Delta L. \tag{14}
\]

Figure 4b shows the probabilities of exceeding a given total excursion in any 1 ka period, calculated from the return times shown in Figure 4a. Including persistence has a dramatic impact. For instance, a 2 km excursion has only a 1% chance of happening for white noise, but a 98% chance of happening for \( v = 0.4 \). These results represent a severe complication in evaluating whether a particular moraine on a landscape required a climate change or not, given that the degree of persistence we have used is hard to detect in mass-balance records: that is, the statistical inferences are acutely sensitive to some poorly constrained assumptions.

We note that the Poisson distribution governs events that occur independently, and that this is only an approximation when applied to total glacier excursions (i.e. both maxima and minima) and when climatic persistence is included. In other analyses (not shown) we found the Poisson distribution held well for 1 ka periods for \( v < 0.5 \), but care should be taken applying the formula for shorter periods. Its validity depends on the glacier response time and the degree of climatic persistence. The three-stage model is simple enough that the validity of the assumption can always be checked using Monte Carlo methods.

### 3.2. Spanning a broad range of parameters

So far, we have used one standard set of glacier parameters and varied only the persistence. Figures 5a and b shows how \( \sigma_{\tau} \) varies as a function of glacier timescale, \( \tau_g \), and \( r \) or \( v \), using Eqns (7), (9), and (11); and recognizing that \( \tau_g \) for our control glacier (\( \sim 7 \) a) is quite small relative to values estimated for many other glaciers (e.g. Leclercq and Oerlemans, 2012).

Increasing \( \tau_g \) increases \( \sigma_{\tau} \) (i.e. Eqn 8). A longer glacier response time implies a weaker restoring tendency for a given \( L \) (e.g. Eqn 6), and so the glacier will undergo larger and longer excursions in response to stochastic forcing. The impact of persistence grows with \( \tau_g \), more so for power-law persistence (i.e. Eqn 11) than for AR(1) persistence (i.e. Eqn 10). The reason is that a glacier with a larger \( \tau_g \) can be thought of as a low-pass filter with a lower-frequency cutoff than a glacier with a smaller \( \tau_g \). The differences between the white-noise and power-law spectra increase as frequency decreases, and those lower frequencies contribute more to the variance of the large-\( \tau_g \) glacier than the small-\( \tau_g \) glacier. So for our control glacier with \( \tau_g \sim 7 \) a, going from \( v = 0 \) to \( v = 0.4 \) has a less than two-fold impact on \( \sigma_{\tau} \); however for
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**Fig. 4.** (a) Return times of a given advance, as a function of climatic persistence, calculated from Eqn (13) for the control glacier parameters. Note the logarithmic y-axis, (b) Excursion probabilities as a function of climatic persistence. It shows the probability of exceeding a given total excursion (i.e. maximum minus minimum extent) in any 1 ka period of constant climate. Curves are calculated from Eqn (14) for the control glacier parameters. The presence of even a small degree of persistence can dramatically increase the likelihood of seeing a given excursion.
\( \tau_g \sim 50 \) a the effect is nearly three-fold (Fig. 5b). Finally, we note that the strong sensitivity at larger values of \( \nu \) is because of the dependence of \( \sigma_L \) on secant \( (\frac{\nu \pi}{2}) \) (i.e. Eqn 11), which reflects the fact that the variance can become unbounded for large enough \( \nu \) (Eqn A13).

We have also held \( \beta \) and \( \sigma_b \) constant. From Eqn (7) we see that \( \sigma_L \) is linearly proportional to each of them, so our results can easily be applied to other glaciers by reading off the contours in Figure 5. It should also be noted that \( \tau_g \) and \( \beta \) are related via the glacier thickness, \( H \) (see the discussions in Section 3 after Eqn (6)).

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Observational records of glacier mass balance are short, and this makes it hard to establish the degree of climatic persistence that pertains to them. Typically, they are short enough that the null hypothesis of no persistence (i.e. white noise) cannot be rejected. And yet there are other observational datasets and also theoretical grounds for being confident that a degree of climatic persistence does, in fact, exist. We have explored the impact of climatic persistence on glacier length fluctuations. For two different statistical models we have found that, even for a degree of persistence that is hard to detect in instrumental records, the magnitude of glacier length fluctuations can be significantly enhanced over that in the case of no persistence. The effect becomes even more important when one considers the impact on excursion probabilities. For extreme excursions, persistence can turn an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ event (<1%) into a ‘virtually certain’ event (>99%, using IPCC (2013) definitions and a slight extrapolation from Fig. 4b).

Our results compound with the other challenges of identifying past climate change from the glacier length record. If one accepts that internal climate variability will produce stochastic fluctuations of glacier length then, *ipso facto*, identifying climate change in the glacial record is an exercise in signal-to-noise detection. In turn, such an exercise depends on our physical models for what the glacier ‘noise’ is. For most glaciers, observed mass-balance variability is not constrained to within a factor of two (Section 2.1). The alternative – modeling mass balance from meteorological data – relies on uncertain parameters, and in any event can only ever be calibrated and evaluated against the direct observations. Because the moraine record is intrinsically fragmentary, we must estimate glacier response to internal climate variability using models. In principle, analytical tools like the three-stage model of Roe and Baker (2014) are efficient vehicles for building intuition about the relative importance of forced and unforced climate variability. Many parameters are accurately observed, but some important parameters like glacier thickness, \( H \), remain poorly constrained. Methodology is improving, but Huss and Farinotti (2012) report a standard error of ~30%. More comprehensive numerical models are not obviously more skillful, depending as they do, on uncertain rheologies and crude parameterizations of subglacial hydrology. In the present study, we have added to this litany of vexation by noting that persistence for glacier mass balance is poorly constrained, while demonstrating it can exert a large influence on the magnitude of glacier length fluctuations. Of course, there is no question but that the Pleistocene Ice Age constituted a climate change that left its mark on the moraine record, a conclusion that survives all modeling uncertainty (e.g. Anderson and others, 2014; Rowan and others, 2014). However the interpretation of Holocene moraines, for which climate changes may be more marginal, is less clear. Events such as the putative Little Ice Age may not stand out relative to the noise of internal climate variability (e.g. Matthews and Briffa, 2005).
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Fig. 5. Contours of the standard deviation of glacier response \( \sigma_L \) (m) as a function of glacier response time, \( \tau_g \), and climatic persistence (either \( r \) or \( \nu \)). (a) AR(1) persistence, \( r \). (b) Power-law persistence, \( \nu \). For these calculations the value of \( \beta \) and \( \sigma_b \) are held constant.
What to do? In this study we have focussed exclusively on the magnitude of the fluctuations of an individual glacier, and we have made the case that, with existing observations, the minimum uncertainty in the magnitudes of the fluctuations due to internal climate variability remains a factor of two or three (at least until observational records grow long enough to constrain $\sigma_T$ better). However other information can also be brought to bear on interpreting glacier history. In particular, the spatial extent, or the temporal duration, of contemporaneous glacier length fluctuations can make for an independent test of a climate-change hypothesis. In other words, is the duration or spatial coherence of glacier length fluctuations surprising, given a null hypothesis that they are unconnected? The statistical machinery exists (e.g. Bretherton and others, 1999), to assess the spatial and temporal correlations in climate and glacier variability. Such tools have yet to be applied to interpreting the glacier record, and an important caveat is that the spatial coherence varies with timescale (e.g. Deser and others, 2010; Meehl and others, 2013). However, some principles can be laid out. Glacier length fluctuations within individual mountain ranges will be highly coherent, since they experience essentially the same climate and so do not constitute independent records of climate change (e.g. Huybers and Roe, 2009). Observations and theory suggest that the degree of climatic persistence is likely greater for maritime settings than for continental settings (e.g. Pelletier, 1997; Huybers and Curry, 2006). On larger spatial scales and longer timescales, interpretations of geologic observations hinge critically on the accuracy with which moraine dating can be made, and there is an ongoing and vibrant debate as to what is achievable (e.g. Davis and others, 2009 and references therein; Balco, 2009; Schaefer and others, 2009; Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012; Schimmelpfennig and others, 2012; Solomina and others, 2015).

We have followed in the path of Reichert and others (2002) by including persistence in the climate variability. Does such persistence by itself imply climate changes? In the case of AR(1) persistence, the answer is an emphatic no because the timescales are too short and reflect only, for example, the integrating effects of the ocean mixed layer. For power-law persistence the answer is a little more nuanced. Power-law variability is a constant climate in the sense that it requires neither changes in external forcing, nor changes to the dynamics of the climate system. However variability continues to increase out to lower frequencies and eventually merges into a portion of the spectrum that is most definitely a response to external forcing (e.g. Huybers and Curry, 2006). The fact the background spectrum of climate variability is a continuum means that what constitutes climate ‘change’ will always depend on somewhat arbitrary definitions.

A related question is whether climate variability is itself a function of mean state. If the climate change is large enough that there are significant shifts of major climatic zones, then there must, at some level, be changes in local variability, especially at the margins of those zones. However for the as yet relatively small climate changes of the last century (~1 K in the global mean), there are no theoretical grounds for expecting that changes in the variability (i.e. the second and higher-order moments of the statistical distribution) have had as big an impact as shifts in the mean (i.e. the first moment). This is largely borne out in observations and models (e.g. Simolo and others, 2011; Donat and Alexander, 2012; Rhines and Huybers, 2013). So while possible changes in variability are worth keeping in mind for the larger climate changes of past millennia, the modern instrumental record of variability provides a good foundation for building intuition about the impact of variability in past climates.

The amplitude and nature of internal climate variability is one of the most important challenges in climate science. It governs the predictability of future climate (e.g. Hawkins and Sutton, 2009; Deser and others 2012), and the interpretation and statistical significance of past changes, an example of which was our focus here. While we have concentrated on glaciers, the impact of climatic persistence on the response of all paleoclimate proxies is important to assess. There is currently a mismatch between the climatic persistence inferred from paleoclimate proxies and that generated by comprehensive climate models, which may reflect a problem with the proxy records, or the models, or both (Laepple and Huybers, 2014). It remains to be seen what the resolution will be.
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APPENDIX

IMPACT OF CLIMATIC PERSISTENCE ON THE STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF GLACIER LENGTH FLUCTUATIONS

The continuous form of the three-stage glacier model equation is:

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{\tau_g} \right)^3 L(t) = \frac{\beta}{\epsilon^{\tau_g} b(t)}.$$  (A1)

The discrete version of the three-stage model (e.g. Roe and Baker, 2014) is more closely analogous to the flowline numerical model (and to nature). However, for analytic tractability, we use the continuous equations here, and we also derive the glacier variance by integrating the power spectrum from 0 to ∞, rather than to τ. This approximation is justified since the continuous equations differ most from the discrete equations at high frequencies (t >> 1/τ). These frequencies are strongly damped by the glacier dynamics, which thus minimizes the differences from the discrete equations. We also derive expressions for the ratios of the variances with- and-without persistence, and these ratios show close agreement with ratios computed from the numerical flowline model and the discrete three-stage model.

Roe and Baker: The response of glaciers to climatic persistence
Since Eqn (A1) is a linear equation, we can seek Fourier-transform solutions of the form:

\[ L(t) = \int_0^\infty \hat{L}(f)e^{i2\pi ft}df, \quad (A2) \]
\[ b(t) = \int_0^\infty \hat{b}(f)e^{i2\pi ft}df. \quad (A3) \]

Substituting into Eqn (A1) gives

\[ \left(i2\pi f + \frac{1}{\epsilon \tau_g}\right)^3 \hat{L}(f) = \frac{\beta}{\epsilon^3 \tau^2} \hat{b}(f). \quad (A4) \]

Next, take the product of Eqn (A4) and its complex conjugate. Together with the definitions \( P_L(f) \equiv |\hat{L}(f)|^2 \) and \( P_b(f) \equiv |\hat{b}(f)|^2 \), this gives:

\[ P_L(f) = \frac{\beta^2 \tau^2}{(1 + (2\pi \epsilon \tau_g f)^2)} P_b(f). \quad (A5) \]

This is a general expression that applies for any \( P_b(f) \).

First, for a continuous AR(1) process, we set

\[ P_b(f, \tau) = \frac{P_0}{(1 + (2\pi \epsilon \tau_g f)^2)}, \quad (A6) \]

(e.g. Box and others, 2008). We determine \( P_0 \) as follows: for white noise, \( P_0 = 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2 \), which gives \( \int_0^\infty P_b(f)df = \sigma_b^2 \), where \( f_0 = 1/2\Delta t \). For the discrete AR(1) power spectrum Eqn (2), the low frequency response is \( P_b(\tau = 0) = 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2/(1 - \tau^2) \). Finally for a general discrete AR(1) process the variance is enhanced by a factor of \( 1/(1 - \tau^2) \) compared with white noise (e.g. Box and others, 2008). So to ensure the variance of our synthetic climate time series does not depend on the persistence we divide by this factor, giving:

\[ P_0 = \frac{(1 - \tau^2)}{(1 - \tau^2)^2} \cdot 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2. \quad (A7) \]

Equations (A6) and (A7) can be substituted into Eqn (A5), and integrated to calculate the variance \( \sigma_L^2 \). It turns out there is an analytical solution that can be found from standard integral tables. After some manipulation:

\[ \sigma_L^2 = \int_0^\infty P_L(f)df \]
\[ = \frac{(1 - \tau^2)}{(1 - \tau^2)^2} \cdot \frac{\beta^2 \tau^2 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2}{32} \cdot \frac{3e^2 \tau^2 + 9\epsilon \tau \tau_c + 8\tau_c^2}{(\epsilon \tau + \tau_c)^4}. \quad (A8) \]

Finally, we can define the ratio of the variances with- and-without persistence:

\[ R_v = \frac{\sigma_L^2}{\sigma_b^2}. \quad (A9) \]

Or in the limit of \( \epsilon \tau_g \gg \tau_c \), this becomes simply:

\[ R_v = \frac{(1 - \tau^2)}{(1 - \tau^2)}, \quad (A10) \]

For power-law spectra, we have:

\[ P_b(f) = P_0 (\frac{f_0}{f})^{-\nu}. \quad (A11) \]

We set \( P_0 = (1 - \nu) \cdot 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2 \), which ensures \( \int_0^\infty P_b(f)df = \sigma_b^2 \). Upon substitution into Eqn (A5):

\[ \sigma_L^2 = \beta^2 \tau^2 P_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{f_{-\nu}}{(1 + (2\pi \epsilon \tau_g f)^2)^2} df. \quad (A12) \]

This again turns out to have an analytic solution, which can be reduced to

\[ \sigma_L^2 = \frac{\beta^2 \tau^2 2\Delta t \sigma_b^2}{16 \epsilon} (2\pi \epsilon f_0 \tau)^\nu (1 - \nu^2)(v + 3) \sec(v \pi/2). \quad (A13) \]

Hence the ratio of variances, \( R_v \), can be written as:

\[ R_v = \frac{\sigma_L^2}{\sigma_b^2} = \frac{(1 - \nu^2)(v + 3) \sec(v \pi/2)}{3}. \quad (A14) \]

MS received 17 May 2015 and accepted in revised form 28 October 2015